

Finite quantum geometry, octonions and the theory of fundamental particles

Michel DUBOIS-VIOLETTE

Laboratoire de Physique des 2 Infinis Irène Joliot Curie
Pôle Théorie, IJCLab UMR 9012
CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay - Bâtiment 210
F-91406 Orsay Cedex
✉ michel.dubois-violette@universite-paris-saclay.fr

Octonions and the Standard Model
Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics
February 8, 2021 to May 17, 2021

slides updated on May 30, 2021

General framework

- ▶ External geometry: Lorentzian spacetime M
 $\mathcal{C}(M)$ with Poincaré group action and equivariant $\mathcal{C}(M)$ -modules.
- ▶ Internal geometry : Finite quantum geometry
 J = finite-dimensional algebra of quantum observables with some further structure $\Rightarrow G \subset \text{Aut}(J)$ and equivariant J -modules.
- ▶ $\Rightarrow \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{C}(M, J)$, \mathcal{J} -modules and connections
 \Rightarrow gauge interactions, etc.
 \mathcal{J} defines an “almost classical quantum geometry”.

The theory of universal unital multiplicative envelope $U_1(J)$ of J makes the bridge between the present approach and the noncommutative one which is summarized in [6] and [7].

Internal space for a quark [1]

$E \simeq \mathbb{C}^3$ with (color) $SU(3)$ action

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} SU(3) \subset U(3) \Rightarrow E \text{ is Hilbert with scalar product } \langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle \\ \text{Unimodularity of } SU(3) \Rightarrow \text{volume} = 3\text{-linear form on } E, \text{vol}(\bullet, \bullet, \bullet) \end{array} \right.$

\Rightarrow antilinear antisymmetric product \times on E

$$\text{vol}(Z_1, Z_2, Z_3) = \langle Z_1 \times Z_2, Z_3 \rangle$$

$SU(3)$ -basis = Orthonormal basis (e_k) of E such that

$$v(e_1, e_2, e_3) = 1$$

By choosing an origin $SU(3)$ -basis $\leftrightarrow SU(3)$

2 products $\times : E \times E \rightarrow E$ and $\langle, \rangle : E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

Unital $SU(3)$ -algebra

$$SU(3) = \{U \in GL(E) \mid \times \text{ and } \langle, \rangle \text{ are preserved}\}$$

$$\|Z_1 \times Z_2\|^2 = \|Z_1\|^2 \|Z_2\|^2 - |\langle Z_1, Z_2 \rangle|^2$$

$$\text{add a unit} \Rightarrow \mathbb{C} \oplus E \quad \mathbf{1} = (1, 0)$$

$$(1, 0)(0, Z) = (0, Z) = (0, Z)(1, 0), (z_1, 0)(z_2, 0) = (z_1 z_2, 0)$$

$$(0, Z_1)(0, Z_2) = (\alpha \langle Z_1, Z_2 \rangle, \beta Z_1 \times Z_2), |\alpha| = |\beta| = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \| (0, Z_1) \|^2 \| (0, Z_2) \|^2 = \| (0, Z_1)(0, Z_2) \|^2$$

$$\text{natural to require } \| (z_1, Z_1)(z_2, Z_2) \| = \| (z_1, Z_1) \| \| (z_2, Z_2) \|$$

solution :

$$(z_1, Z_1)(z_2, Z_2) = (z_1 z_2 - \langle Z_1, Z_2 \rangle, \bar{z}_1 Z_2 + z_2 Z_1 + i Z_1 \times Z_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow (\bar{z}, -Z)(z, Z) = (z, Z)(\bar{z}, -Z) = \| (z, Z) \|^2 \mathbf{1}$$

An interpretation of the quark-lepton symmetry

$SU(3)$ is the group of complex-linear automorphisms of $\mathbb{C} \oplus E$ which preserves the above product and E carries the fundamental representation of $SU(3)$ while \mathbb{C} corresponds to the trivial one.

$\Rightarrow E$ being the internal space of a quark, it is “natural” to consider \mathbb{C} as the internal space of the corresponding lepton.

As a real algebra $\mathbb{C} \oplus E$ is 8-dimensional isomorphic to the octonion algebra \mathbb{O} .

$SU(3) \subset G_2 = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{O})$ is the subgroup preserving i , a given imaginary element of \mathbb{O} with $i^2 = -1$.

The 3 generations

6 flavors of quark-lepton

$(u, \nu_e), (d, e), (c, \nu_\mu), (s, \mu), (t, \nu_\tau), (b, \tau)$

grouped in 3 generations, columns of

generations			
quarks $Q = 2/3$	u	c	t
leptons $Q = 0$	ν_e	ν_μ	ν_τ
quarks $Q = -1/3$	d	s	b
leptons $Q = -1$	e	μ	τ

This sort of “trality” combined with the above interpretation of the quark-lepton symmetry suggest to add over each space-time point the finite quantum system corresponding to the exceptional Jordan algebra.

Quantum geometry - I

J (real vector space) quantum analog of a space of real functions.
Squaring $x \mapsto x^2$ for $x \in J$ such that $x.y = \frac{1}{2}((x+y)^2 - x^2 - y^2)$ is bilinear.

J is *power associative* if by defining $x^{n+1} = x.x^n$

(i) $x^r.x^s = x^{r+s}$

J is *formally real* if one has

(ii) $\sum_{k \in I} (x_k)^2 = 0 \Rightarrow x_k = 0, \forall k \in I$

Theorem (1)

A finite-dimensional commutative real algebra J which is power associative and formally real is a Jordan algebra, that is one has

$$x^2.(y.x) = (x^2.y).x, \quad \forall x, y \in J.$$

Such a Jordan algebra is also called an *Euclidean* Jordan algebra.

Quantum geometry - II

Condition (i) and (ii) are necessary for spectral theory (with real spectra).

There are various infinite-dimensional extensions of the above theorem \Rightarrow various formulations of “quantum geometry”, etc.

In most cases the Jordan algebras which describe quantum geometries are hermitian (real) subspaces of complex $*$ -algebras invariant by the anticommutator $x.y = \frac{1}{2}(xy + yx)$.

\Rightarrow In these cases one can use the noncommutative geometric setting.

Properties of finite-dimensional Euclidean Jordan algebras

Let J be a finite-dimensional Euclidean Jordan algebra.

Then J has a unit $\mathbb{1} \in J$ and $\forall x \in J$

$$x = \sum_{r \in I_x} \lambda_r e_r, \quad e_r e_s = \delta_{rs} e_r \in J, \quad \lambda_r \in \mathbb{R}$$

with $\mathbb{1} = \sum_{r \in I_x} e_r$, $\text{card}(I_x) \leq n(J) \in \mathbb{N}$

\Rightarrow functional calculus with $\mathbb{R}[X]$.

Furthermore J is a direct sum of a finite number of simple ideals.

Finite-dimensional simple Euclidean Jordan algebras

Theorem (2)

A finite-dimensional simple Euclidean Jordan algebra is isomorphic to one of

$$c = 1 \quad \mathbb{R}$$

$$c = 2 \quad J_2^n = JSpin_{n+1} = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1} + \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \gamma^\mu \cdot \gamma^\nu = \delta^{\mu\nu} \mathbf{1}, n \geq 1$$

$$c = 3 \quad J_3^1 = H_3(\mathbb{R}), J_3^2 = H_3(\mathbb{C}), J_3^4 = H_3(\mathbb{H}), J_3^8 = H_3(\mathbb{O})$$

$$c = n \geq 4 \quad J_n^1 = H_n(\mathbb{R}), J_n^2 = H_n(\mathbb{C}), J_n^4 = H_n(\mathbb{H})$$

These correspond to the “finite quantum spaces” (i.e. “real function’s spaces” over the “quantum spaces”).

The “octonionic factors” J_2^8 and J_3^8 [1], [4]

The above interpretation which connects the quark-lepton symmetry and the unimodularity of the color group points the attention to the factors

$$J_2^8 = H_2(\mathbb{O}) = JSpin_9$$

$$J_3^8 = H_3(\mathbb{O})$$

together with the subgroups of $\text{Aut}(J_2^8) = O(9)$ and of $\text{Aut}(J_3^8) = F_4$ which preserve the splitting $\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$ (and act \mathbb{C} -linearly on \mathbb{C}^3).

Remark : It is worth noticing here that there is another octonionic factor namely $J_2^7 = JSpin_8$ identified to the Jordan subalgebra of J_2^8 which consists of the 2×2 octonionic hermitian matrices with diagonals multiple of $\mathbb{1}$ (i.e. $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & x \\ \bar{x} & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{O}$).

Action of $G_{SM} = SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)/\mathbb{Z}_6$ on J_2^8

$O(9) = \text{Aut}(J_2^8)$, the subgroup which preserves the splitting $\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$ is the group $O(3) \otimes U(3)$. To express this action write

$$\begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 & x \\ \bar{x} & \zeta_2 \end{pmatrix} \in J_2^8$$

as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 & x \\ \bar{x} & \zeta_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 & z \\ \bar{z} & \zeta_2 \end{pmatrix} + Z \in J_2^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$$

where $x = z + Z \in \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$ represents $x \in \mathbb{O}$. The action of $O(3) \otimes U(3)$ is then the action of $O(3) = \text{Aut}(J_2^2)$ and the action of $U(3)$ on \mathbb{C}^3 . The action of the connected part $SO(3) \times U(3)$ is in fact an action of $G_{SM}/\mathbb{Z}_2 = SO(3) \times U(3)$, i.e. of G_{SM} by forgetting the torsion part of the fundamental group.

Action of $SU(3) \times SU(3)/\mathbb{Z}_3$ on J_3^8

$F_4 = \text{Aut}(J_3^8)$, the subgroup which preserves the representations of the octonions occurring in the matrix elements of J_3^8 as elements of $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$ is $SU(3) \times SU(3)/\mathbb{Z}_3$. To express this action write

$$\begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 & x_3 & \bar{x}_2 \\ \bar{x}_3 & \zeta_2 & x_1 \\ x_2 & \bar{x}_1 & \zeta_3 \end{pmatrix} \in J_3^8$$

as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 & z_3 & \bar{z}_2 \\ \bar{z}_3 & \zeta_2 & z_1 \\ z_2 & \bar{z}_1 & \zeta_3 \end{pmatrix} + (Z_1, Z_2, Z_3) \in J_3^2 \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C})$$

where $x_i = z_i + Z_i \in \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$ is the representation of $x_i \in \mathbb{O}$.

The action of $(U, V) \in SU(3) \times SU(3)$ is then

$H \mapsto VHV^*$, $M \mapsto UMV^*$ on $H \oplus M \in J_3^2 \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C})$.

The action of U is the previous action of the color $SU(3)$.

The \mathbb{Z}_3 -splitting principle

Yokota suggests a simpler formulation (Arxiv: 0909.0431), $i \in \mathbb{C}$ corresponds to $i \in \mathbb{O} \Rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_3 \subset SU(3) \subset G_2 = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{O})$. The \mathbb{Z}_3 action on \mathbb{O} is induced by $w \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{O})$

$$w(z + Z) = z + \omega_1 Z, \quad \omega_1 = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i$$

One has $w^3 = I$ and this also induces a \mathbb{Z}_3 -action by automorphism, again denoted w , on J_2^8 (then $w \in SO(9)$) and on J_3^8 (then $w \in F_4$). The corresponding subgroups leaving w invariant are given by

$$(G_2)^w = SU(3)$$

$$(SO(9))^w = G_{SM}/\mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$(F_4)^w = SU(3) \times SU(3)/\mathbb{Z}_3$$

Exceptional quantum factor

$$J_3^8 = H_3(\mathbb{O}) = \{3 \times 3 \text{ hermitian octonionic matrices}\}$$

- ▶ Albert has shown that it cannot be realized as a part stable for the anticommutator of an associative algebra.
- ▶ It follows from the theory of Zelmanov that this is the only exceptional factor.

Center

A arbitrary \mathbb{K} -algebra; *the center* $Z(A)$ of A is the set of the $z \in A$ such that

$$[x, z] = 0, \quad \forall x \in A$$

and

$$[x, y, z] = [x, z, y] = [z, x, y] = 0, \quad \forall x, y \in A$$

where $[x, z] = xz - zx$, $[x, y, z] = (xy)z - x(yz)$, $\forall x, y, z \in A$.
 $Z(A)$ is a commutative associative subalgebra of A .

Lemma (1)

Assume that A is commutative. Then one has :
 $z \in Z(A) \Leftrightarrow [x, y, z] = 0, \quad \forall x, y \in A$.

Proof.

$[x, z] = 0$ is clear ; $[x, y, z] = -[z, y, x] = 0$ by commutativity and again by commutativity $[x, y, z] - [y, x, z] = 0$ implies $[x, z, y] = 0$.
($\equiv [y, z, x] \equiv -[x, z, y]$). □

Derivations

A arbitrary \mathbb{K} -algebra ; a linear endomorphism δ of A is a *derivation of A* (into A) if it satisfies

$$\delta(xy) = \delta(x)y + x\delta(y), \quad \forall x, y \in A$$

The space $\text{Der}(A)$ of all derivations of A is a $Z(A)$ -module

$$(z\delta)(x) = z\delta(x), \quad \forall z \in Z(A), \forall x \in A$$

$\text{Der}(A)$ is also a Lie algebra

$$[\delta_1, \delta_2](x) = \delta_1(\delta_2(x)) - \delta_2(\delta_1(x)), \quad \forall \delta_1, \delta_2 \in \text{Der}(A), \forall x \in A$$

One has

$$\delta(Z(A)) \subset Z(A), \quad \forall \delta \in \text{Der}(A)$$

and

$$[\delta_1, z\delta_2] = z[\delta_1, \delta_2] + \delta_1(z)\delta_2, \quad \forall \delta_1, \delta_2 \in \text{Der}(A), \quad \forall z \in Z(A)$$

that is $(\text{Der}(A), Z(A))$ is a *Lie Rinehart algebra*

Categories of algebras

\mathbb{K} a fixed field ; all vector spaces, algebras are over \mathbb{K}

A *category of algebras* is a category \mathcal{C} such that its objects are algebras and its morphisms are algebra-homomorphisms.

$\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{Alg}}$ = category of all algebras and all algebra-homomorphisms

$\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{Alg}_1}$ = category of unital algebras and unital algebra-homomorphisms

$\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{Lie}}$ = category of Lie algebras

$\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{Jord}}$ = category of Jordan algebras

$\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{Jord}_1}$ = category of unital Jordan algebras

\mathcal{C}_A = category of associative algebras

\mathcal{C}_{A_1} = category of unital associative algebras

\mathcal{C}_{A_Z} = category of all associative algebras but morphisms sending centers into centers.

$\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{Com}}$ = category of commutative algebras, etc.

Bimodules

\mathcal{C} a category of algebras

$A \in \mathcal{C}$ an object, M a vector space such that there are

$$A \otimes M \rightarrow M, a \otimes m \mapsto am \text{ and } M \otimes A \rightarrow M, m \otimes a \mapsto ma$$

define the product $(A \oplus M) \otimes (A \oplus M) \rightarrow A \oplus M$

$$(a \oplus m) \otimes (a' \oplus m') \mapsto aa' \oplus (am' + ma')$$

M is an A -bimodule for \mathcal{C} if

1. $A \oplus M \in \mathcal{C}$
2. $A \rightarrow A \oplus M$ is a morphism of \mathcal{C}
3. $A \oplus M \rightarrow A$ is a morphism of \mathcal{C}

Examples : Bimodules for the above categories (exercise !)

Jordan (bi)-modules I

J Jordan algebra, M vector space with

$$\begin{aligned} J \otimes M &\rightarrow M, & x \otimes \Phi &\mapsto x\Phi \\ M \otimes J &\rightarrow M, & \Phi \otimes x &\mapsto \Phi x \end{aligned}$$

such that the null-split extension $J \oplus M$

$$(x \oplus \Phi)(x' \oplus \Phi') = (xx' \oplus x\Phi' + \Phi x')$$

is again a Jordan algebra then M is a *Jordan bimodule*

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} (i) & x\Phi = \Phi x \\ (ii) & x(x^2\Phi) = x^2(x\Phi) \\ (iii) & (x^2y)\Phi - x^2(y\Phi) = 2((xy)(x\Phi) - x(y(x\Phi))) \end{cases}$$

If J has a unit $\mathbb{1} \in J$, M is *unital* if

$$(iiii) \quad \mathbb{1}\Phi = \Phi$$

In view of (i), a Jordan bimodule is simply called a *Jordan module*.

Jordan (bi)-modules II

J, M being as before, set $L_x \Phi = x\Phi$ then (ii) reads

$$(ii)' \quad [L_x, L_{x^2}] = 0$$

while (iii) reads

$$(iii)' \quad L_{x^2y} - L_{x^2}L_y - 2L_{xy}L_x + 2L_xL_yL_x = 0$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} (a) & L_{x^3} - 3L_{x^2}L_x + 2L_x^3 = 0 \\ (b) & [[L_x, L_y], L_z] + L_{[x,z,y]} = 0 \end{cases}$$

where $[x, z, y] = (xz)y - x(z y)$ is the associator. Condition (iiii) reads

$$(iv)' \quad L_{\mathbb{1}} = \mathbb{1} (= I_M)$$

Free J -modules and free $Z(J)$ -modules I [3]

J a Jordan algebra is canonically a J -module which is unital whenever J has a unit.

Lemma (2)

Let J be a Jordan algebra, E and F be vector spaces and let $\varphi : J \otimes E \rightarrow J \otimes F$ be a J -module homomorphism. Then one has

$$\varphi(Z(J) \otimes E) \subset Z(J) \otimes F$$

Proof.

Choose basis (e_α) and (f_λ) for E and F . One has $\varphi(z \otimes e_\alpha) = m_\alpha^\lambda \otimes f_\lambda$ for $z \in Z(J)$ and some $m_\alpha^\lambda \in J$. On the other hand one has $(xy)z = x(yz)$ for any $x, y \in J$
 $\Rightarrow \varphi((xy)z \otimes e_\alpha) = (xy)\varphi(z \otimes e_\alpha) = x\varphi(yz \otimes e_\alpha) = x(y\varphi(z \otimes e_\alpha))$
 $\Leftrightarrow [x, y, m_\alpha^\lambda] = 0. \quad \square$

Free J -modules and free $Z(J)$ -modules II

Proposition (1)

Let J be a unital Jordan algebra. Then $J \otimes E \mapsto Z(J) \otimes E$ and $(\varphi : J \otimes E \rightarrow J \otimes F) \mapsto (\varphi \upharpoonright Z(J) \otimes E : Z(J) \otimes E \rightarrow Z(J) \otimes F)$ is an isomorphism between the category of free unital J -modules and the category of free unital $Z(J)$ -modules.

Indeed from the above lemma $\varphi \upharpoonright (Z(J) \otimes E)$ is a $Z(J)$ -module homomorphism of $Z(J) \otimes E$ into $Z(J) \otimes F$.

Conversely any $Z(J)$ -module homomorphism

$\varphi_0 : Z(J) \otimes E \rightarrow Z(J) \otimes F$ extends uniquely by setting

$x\varphi_0(\mathbb{1} \otimes E) = \varphi(x \otimes E) \in J \otimes F$ as a J -module homomorphism.

Unital JSpin-modules I

$E, (\bullet, \bullet)$ pseudo euclidean \rightarrow 3 unital \mathbb{R} -algebras generated by E

1. Jordan spin factor $JSpin(E) = \mathbb{R}\mathbb{1} + E$ $x \circ y = (x, y)\mathbb{1}$
2. Clifford algebra $Cl(E)$ $xy + yx = 2(x, y)\mathbb{1} (= 2x \circ y)$
3. Meson algebra $B(E)$ $xyx = (x, y)x$ ($B(E)$ associative).

$Cl(E)$ and $B(E)$ are finite-dimensional unital \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded associative real algebras and $x \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(x \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes x)$ defines an injective homomorphism $i : B(E) \rightarrow Cl(E) \otimes Cl(E)$

Theorem (3)

- a - $Cl(E)$ is the universal unital associative envelope of $JSpin(E)$*
 - b - $B(E)$ is the universal unital multiplicative envelope of $JSpin(E)$*
- i.e. M unital left $B(E)$ -module $\Leftrightarrow M$ unital $JSpin(E)$ -module.*

Proof.

Let M be a unital $JSpin(E)$ -module. Then $L_{x \circ y} = (x, y)\mathbb{1}$ so (ii)' is satisfied in view of (iv)' and (iii)' while (iii)' reduces to $L_x L_y L_x = (x, y)L_x$ which means that M is a unital left $B(E)$ -module. □

Unital JSpin-modules II

$$O(E) = \text{Aut}(JSpin(E)) = \text{Aut}(Cl(E)) = \text{Aut}(B(E)),$$

$$\mathfrak{so}(E) = \text{Der}(JSpin(E)) = \text{Der}(Cl(E)) = \text{Der}(B(E))$$

moreover the corresponding derivations are inner derivations in the above corresponding algebras \Rightarrow they act on the modules for these algebras.

$JSpin(E)$ is an euclidean Jordan algebra iff. E is euclidean, in this case, one identifies E with \mathbb{R}^n ($n = \dim(E)$) endowed with the scalar product for which the canonical basis is orthonormal and use the notations $JSpin(E) = JSpin_n$, $Cl(E) = Cl_n$, $B(E) = B_n$,

$$O(E) = O_n \text{ and } \mathfrak{so}(E) = \mathfrak{so}_n.$$

B_n is the direct sum of a finite family of matrix algebras.

Clifford algebras as $JSpin$ -modules

$\mathcal{C}l_{n+1}$ is a unital module over $J_2^n = JSpin_{n+1}$ via

$$L_\gamma(A) = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma A + A\gamma)$$

Canonical isomorphism of \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space (PBW)

$$\Gamma : \wedge \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}l_{n+1}, \quad \omega_{i_1 \dots i_p} \mapsto \Gamma(\omega) = \omega_{i_1 \dots i_p} \gamma^{i_1} \dots \gamma^{i_p}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{C}l_{n+1} = \bigoplus_{p=0}^{n+1} \Gamma^p \quad \text{with} \quad \Gamma^p = \Gamma(\wedge^p \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$$

Proposition (2)

For any integer $p \leq \frac{1}{2}n$, $\Gamma^{2p} \oplus \Gamma^{2p+1}$ is an irreducible J_2^n -submodule of $\mathcal{C}l_{n+1}$ and if $n+1 = 2m$ then $\Gamma^{2m} \simeq \mathbb{R}$ is also an irreducible submodule of $\mathcal{C}l_{n+1} = \mathcal{C}l_{2m}$.

The decomposition of $\mathcal{C}l_{n+1}$ into irreducible J_2^n -modules follows.

The case of $J_2^{4k} = JSpin_{4k+1}$ for $k \geq 1$

$\hat{\varepsilon} = \gamma_0 \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_{4k} \in Cl_{4k+1}$ is central with $(\hat{\varepsilon})^2 = \mathbb{1}$

$\Rightarrow Cl_{4k+1} = Cl_{4k}^+ \oplus Cl_{4k}^-, Cl_{4k}^\varepsilon \simeq Cl_{4k}$.

Setting $\gamma_0^\varepsilon = \varepsilon \gamma_1^\varepsilon \dots \gamma_{4k}^\varepsilon \in Cl_{4k}^\varepsilon$ and

$L_{\gamma_m}(\omega^\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_m^\varepsilon \omega^\varepsilon + \omega^\varepsilon \gamma_m^\varepsilon), \forall \omega^\varepsilon \in Cl_{4k}^\varepsilon, m \in \{0, 1, \dots, 4k\}$

$\Rightarrow Cl_{4k}^\varepsilon \in \{J_2^{4k}\text{-modules}\} \Rightarrow$ a J_2^{4k} -module structure on

Cl_{4k+1} which is different of the one induced by the J_2^n -module structure of Cl_{n+1} defined previously $\forall n$.

J_3^8 -modules

Any Jordan algebra J is canonically a J -module which is unital whenever J has a unit.

The list of the unital irreducible Jordan modules over the finite-dimensional Euclidean Jordan algebras is given in [Jacobson]. In the case of the exceptional algebra one has the following proposition

Proposition (3)

Any unital irreducible J_3^8 -module is isomorphic to J_3^8 (as module).

In particular, any finite unital module over J_3^8 is of the form $J_3^8 \otimes E$ for some finite-dimensional real vector space E . Thus the complexified $J_3^8 \otimes \mathbb{C}$ of J_3^8 is a free J_3^8 -module.

J_3^8 -modules for 2 families by generation [1]

$$J^u = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \nu_\tau + t & \bar{\nu}_\mu - c \\ \bar{\nu}_\tau - t & \alpha_2 & \nu_e + u \\ \nu_\mu + c & \bar{\nu}_e - u & \alpha_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$J^d = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 & \tau + b & \bar{\mu} - s \\ \bar{\tau} - b & \beta_2 & e + d \\ \mu + s & \bar{e} - d & \beta_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

or with the previous representation

$$J^u = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \nu_\tau & \bar{\nu}_\mu \\ \bar{\nu}_\tau & \alpha_2 & \nu_e \\ \nu_\mu & \bar{\nu}_e & \alpha_3 \end{pmatrix} + (u, c, t)$$

$$J^d = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 & \tau & \bar{\mu} \\ \bar{\tau} & \beta_2 & e \\ \mu & \bar{e} & \beta_3 \end{pmatrix} + (d, s, b)$$

α_i, β_j new Majorana particles \Rightarrow OK for the cancellation of anomalies !

Quaternions and the $U(1) \times SU(2)$ -symmetry

$$q = (z_1, z_2) = z_1 + z_2 j \in \mathbb{H}$$

The subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$ which preserves i is $U(1)$

$$z_1 + z_2 j \mapsto z_1 + e^{i\theta} z_2 j$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & q \\ \bar{q} & \xi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & z_1 \\ \bar{z}_1 & \xi_2 \end{pmatrix} + z_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & j \\ -j & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in J_2^4$$

Subgroup of $\text{Aut}(J_2^4)$ which preserves $\dots = U(1) \times SU(2)$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & z_1 \\ \bar{z}_1 & \xi_2 \end{pmatrix} + z_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & j \\ -j & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto U \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & z_1 \\ \bar{z}_1 & \xi_2 \end{pmatrix} U^* + e^{i\theta} z_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & j \\ -j & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

as for $U \in SU(2)$

$$U \begin{pmatrix} 0 & j \\ -j & 0 \end{pmatrix} U^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & j \\ -j & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Triality in J_3^8 and the 3 generations [4]

Two ways to describe the underlying triality of J_3^8 :

W1 - this triality corresponds to the 3 octonions of the matrix of an element of J_3^8 ,

W2 - this triality corresponds to the 3 canonical subalgebras of hermitian 2×2 matrices of J_3^8 corresponding themselves to the 3 octonions of W1.

W1 and W2 are equivalent but lead naturally to 2 conceptually different interpretations. In fact $J_2^8 = JSpin_9$ corresponds to a complete generation.

$J_2^8 = JSpin_9$ for one generation

1. $Aut(J_2^8) = O(9)$
 $G_{SM}/\mathbb{Z}_2 = SO(3) \times U(3)$ is (\simeq) the subgroup of $SO(9)$ which preserves the splitting $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$ of \mathbb{O} and acts \mathbb{C} -linearly on \mathbb{C}^3 .
2. The $*$ -algebra $Cl_9^c = M_{16}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_{16}(\mathbb{C})$ is generated by the relations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(xy + yx) = x \circ y, & \forall x, y \in J_2^8 \\ x^* = x, & \forall x \in J_2^8 \\ \mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1}_{J_2^8} \end{cases}$$

3. J_2^8 is a unital Jordan subalgebra of the hermitian part $H(Cl_9^c) = J_{16}^2 \oplus J_{16}^2$ of Cl_9^c which is therefore a J_2^8 -module. Note that the diagonal $\Delta H(Cl_9^c)$ of $H(Cl_9^c)$ is a **maximal subspace of compatible observables in $H(Cl_9^c)$ is of dimension $32 = 2^5$** . This property is common to $H(Cl_9)$, $H(Cl_{10})$ and $H(Cl_{10}^c)$, i.e.
 $\dim(\Delta H(Cl_9)) = \dim(\Delta H(Cl_{10})) = \dim(\Delta H(Cl_{10}^c)) = 2^5$.

The correspondence “trianlity-generation” in J_3^8 [4]

$P^2 = P$, primitive = pure state of J_3^8

$\leftrightarrow J_2^8(P) = (\mathbb{1} - P)J_3^8(\mathbb{1} - P) \simeq JSpin_9$

$Aut(J_2^8(P)) =$ subgroup of F_4 which preserves $P \simeq Spin_9$

P_i diagonal $\leftrightarrow J_2^8(P_i) \leftrightarrow$ generation i ($i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$)

$$Aut(J_2^8(P_i)) \cap \frac{SU(3)_c \times SU(3)}{\mathbb{Z}_3} = G_i \simeq \frac{SU(3)_c \times SU(2) \times U(1)}{\mathbb{Z}_6}$$

Each $J_2^8(P_i)$ with the identification $\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$ has automorphism group $G_i \subset F_4$ isomorphic to the standard model group for one generation

The extended electroweak symmetry $SU(3)_{ew}$

$$J_i = J_2^8(P_i), \quad \text{Aut}(J_i) \simeq Spin_9$$

$$SU(3)_c \times SU(3)/\mathbb{Z}_3 \subset F_4 = \text{Aut}(J_3^8)$$

$$\text{Aut}(J_i) \subset F_4$$

$$SU(3)_c \times SU(3)/\mathbb{Z}_3 \cap \text{Aut}(J_i) = G_i$$

$$G_i \simeq SU(3)_c \times SU(2) \times U(1)/\mathbb{Z}_6$$

\Rightarrow The second $SU(3)$ project onto the electroweak symmetry for each generation .

This $SU(3)$ will be called extended electroweak symmetry and denoted by $SU(3)_{ew}$.

Internal symmetry $SU(3)_c \times SU(3)_{ew}/\mathbb{Z}_3 \subset F_4$

Differential graded Jordan algebras [1]

$\Omega = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega^n$ which is a Jordan superalgebra (for $\mathbb{N}/2\mathbb{N}$)

$$ab = (-1)^{|a||b|}ba \text{ for } a \in \Omega^{|a|}, b \in \Omega^{|b|}$$

and graded Jordan identity

$$(-1)^{|a||c|}[L_{ab}, L_c]_{\text{gr}} + (-1)^{|b||a|}[L_{bc}, L_a]_{\text{gr}} + (-1)^{|c||b|}[L_{ca}, L_b]_{\text{gr}} = 0$$

with a differential d

$$d^2 = 0$$

$$d\Omega^n \subset \Omega^{n+1}$$

$$d(ab) = d(a)b + (-1)^{|a|}ad(b)$$

Model for algebras of differential forms on quantum spaces.

Differential calculus over J = differential graded Jordan algebra Ω with $\Omega^0 = J$.

Derivation-based differential calculus

J unital Jordan algebra with center $Z(J)$

$$\Omega_{\text{Der}}^n(J) = \text{Hom}_{Z(J)}(\wedge_{Z(J)}^n \text{Der}(J), J)$$

$\Omega_{\text{Der}}(J) = \bigoplus_n \Omega_{\text{Der}}^n(J)$ is canonically a differential graded Jordan algebra with

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega(X_0, \dots, X_n) &= \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} (-1)^k X_k \omega(X_0, \overset{k}{\underset{\vee}{\dots}}, X_n) \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 \leq r < s \leq n} (-1)^{r+s} \omega([X_r, X_s], X_0, \overset{r}{\underset{\vee}{\dots}} \overset{s}{\underset{\vee}{\dots}}, X_n) \end{aligned}$$

referred to as the *derivation-based differential calculus over J* .

Universal property for J_3^8 [1], [3]

Theorem (4)

Any homomorphism φ of unital Jordan algebra of J_3^8 into the Jordan subalgebra Ω^0 of a unital differential graded Jordan algebra $\Omega = \bigoplus \Omega^n$ has a unique extension $\tilde{\varphi} : \Omega_{\text{Der}}(J_3^8) \rightarrow \Omega$ as a homomorphism of differential graded Jordan algebras.

$\Omega_{\text{Der}}(J_3^8) = J_3^8 \otimes \wedge \mathfrak{f}_4^*$ with the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential.

Derivation-based connections I

J = unital Jordan algebra, center = $Z(J)$, M = unital J -module.

A *derivation-based connection* on M is a linear mapping $X \mapsto \nabla_X$ of $\text{Der}(J)$ into $\mathcal{L}(M)$ such that for $x \in J$ and $z \in Z(J)$

$$\begin{cases} \nabla_X(xm) = X(x)m + x\nabla_X(m) \\ \nabla_{zX}(m) = z\nabla_X(m) \end{cases}$$

curvature of ∇

$$R_{X,Y} = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] - \nabla_{[X,Y]}$$

$$\begin{cases} R_{X,Y}(xm) = xR_{X,Y}(m) \\ R_{zX,Y}(m) = zR_{X,Y}(m) \end{cases}$$

$\mathfrak{g} \subset \text{Der}(J)$, Lie subalgebra and $Z(J)$ -submodule

\Rightarrow *derivation-based \mathfrak{g} -connection* on M (by restriction).

Derivation-based connections II

$\Omega_{\text{Der}}(M) = \text{Hom}_{Z(J)}(\wedge \text{Der}(J), M)$, ∇ linear endomorphism of $\Omega_{\text{Der}}(M)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \nabla(\Omega_{\text{Der}}^n(M)) \subset \Omega_{\text{Der}}^{n+1}(M) \\ \nabla(\omega\Phi) = d(\omega)\Phi + (-1)^m \omega \nabla(\Phi) \end{cases}$$

for any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\omega \in \Omega_{\text{Der}}^m(J)$ and $\Phi \in \Omega_{\text{Der}}(M)$.

\Rightarrow curvature ∇^2

$$\nabla^2(\omega\Phi) = \omega \nabla^2(\Phi)$$

Let ∇ be such a connection and define $\nabla_X(m)$ as in I by

$$\nabla_X(m) = \nabla(m)(X)$$

for $m \in M = \Omega_{\text{Der}}^0(M)$, $X \in \text{Der}(J)$

Conversely, ∇ as in I $\Rightarrow \nabla$ as here with

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla(\Phi)(X_0, \dots, X_n) &= \sum_{p=0}^n (-1)^p \nabla_{X_p}(\Phi(X_0, \dots, \overset{p}{\underset{\vee}{\dots}}, X_n)) \\ &+ \sum_{0 \leq r < s \leq n} (-1)^{r+s} \Phi([X_r, X_s], X_0, \dots, \overset{r}{\underset{\vee}{\dots}}, \overset{s}{\underset{\vee}{\dots}}, X_n) \end{aligned}$$

General connection

$\Omega = \bigoplus \Omega^n$ = differential graded Jordan algebra, $\Gamma = \bigoplus \Gamma^n$ graded module over Ω .

A *connection* on Γ , is a linear endomorphism of Γ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \nabla(\Gamma^n) \subset \Gamma^{n+1} \\ \nabla(\omega\Phi) = d(\omega)\Phi + (-1)^m \omega \nabla(\Phi) \end{cases}$$

for $\omega \in \Omega^n$, $\Phi \in \Gamma \Rightarrow$

$$\nabla^2(\omega\Phi) = \omega \nabla^2(\Phi)$$

∇^2 homogeneous Ω -module homomorphism of degree 2 is *the curvature of ∇* .

$$\nabla \nabla^2 = \nabla^2 \nabla$$

is *the Bianchi identity of ∇* .

Connections on free modules I [3]

J unital Jordan algebra, $M = J \otimes E$ free J -module, Ω differential calculus over J such that Ω is generated by $J = \Omega^0$ as differential graded Jordan algebra.

$\nabla : \Omega \otimes E \rightarrow \Omega \otimes E$ connection induced by $\nabla : J \otimes E \rightarrow \Omega^1 \otimes E$.

Proposition (4)

1. $\overset{0}{\nabla} = d \otimes I_E : J \otimes E \rightarrow \Omega^1 \otimes E$ defines a flat connection on M which is gauge invariant whenever the center of J is trivial.
2. Any other Ω -connection ∇ on M is defined by $\nabla = \overset{0}{\nabla} + A : J \otimes E \rightarrow \Omega^1 \otimes E$ where A is a J -module homomorphism of $J \otimes E$ into $\Omega^1 \otimes E$.
3. If $\Omega = \Omega_{Der}$ (i.e. for derivation-based connections) one has $(\nabla^2)(X, Y) = R_{X, Y} = XA_Y - YA_X + [A_X, A_Y] - A_{[X, Y]}$, $\forall X, Y \in Der(J)$.

Connections on free modules II

Theorem (5)

Let J be a finite-dimensional euclidean Jordan algebra and M be a finite free module i.e. $M = J \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$ for $n < \infty$. Then the curvature of a derivation-based connection $\nabla_X + A_X$ on M is given by

$$R_{X,Y} = [A_X, A_Y] - A_{[X,Y]}.$$

Proof.

It follows from Proposition 1 that A_X is a $n \times n$ matrix with coefficients in the center $Z(J)$ of J , but $Z(J)$ is a finite-dimensional associative euclidean Jordan algebra on which any derivation vanishes. So one has $YA_X = XA_Y = 0$. The result follows then from 3 in Proposition 4. □

Connections on $J\text{Spin}$ -modules I

Any $X \in \text{Der}(J_2^n) = \mathfrak{so}(n+1)$ has an extension as inner derivation of the meson algebra $B_{n+1} \Rightarrow$ an action $m \mapsto Xm$ on any J_2^n -module $M \Rightarrow$

$$\overset{0}{\nabla}_X m = Xm, m \in M$$

defines a derivation-based connection which is flat

$$\overset{0}{R}_{XY} = [\overset{0}{\nabla}_X, \overset{0}{\nabla}_Y] - \overset{0}{\nabla}_{[X, Y]} \equiv 0$$

Any other connection (for Ω_{Der}) is of the form

$$\nabla_X = \overset{0}{\nabla}_X + A_X$$

where A_X is a J_2^n -module endomorphism of M which depends linearly of $X \in \mathfrak{so}(n+1)$.

Connections on $JSpin$ -modules II and further prospects

Since a unital $JSpin_{n+1}$ -module is the same as a unital left B_{n+1} -module and that B_{n+1} is a finite matrix algebra, one can use the noncommutative approach to noncommutative gauge theory developed in the years 1987-1989 which is summarized in reference [6] (see also in [7]).

This is also true for any finite-dimensional euclidean Jordan algebra J since then the universal unital multiplicative envelope $U_1(J)$ of J is also a finite-dimensional matrix algebra (i.e. a finite sum of complete matrix algebras). $U_1(J)$ is an associative unital algebra characterized by the fact that a unital left $U_1(J)$ -module is the same thing as a unital J -module, e.g. $B_{n+1} = U_1(JSpin_{n+1})$.

References

- [1] M. Dubois-Violette : “Exceptional quantum geometry and particles physics”. Nuclear Physics **B912** (2016) 426-449. ArXiv: 1604.01247.
- [2] I. Todorov, M. Dubois-Violette : “Deducing the symmetry of the Standard Model from the automorphism and structure groups of exceptional Jordan algebra”. (IHES/P/17/03), Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A33** (2018) 1850118. ArXiv: 1806.09450.
- [3] A. Carotenuto, L. Dabrowski, M. Dubois-Violette : “Differential calculus on Jordan algebra and Jordan modules”. Lett. Math. Phys. **109** (2019) 113-133. ArXiv:1803.08373.
- [4] M. Dubois-Violette, I. Todorov : “Exceptional quantum geometry and particles physics. II”. Nuclear Physics **B938** (2019) 751-761. ArXiv:1808.08110.

[5] M. Dubois-Violette, I. Todorov: “Superconnection in the spin factor approach to particle physics”. Nuclear Physics **B957** (2020) 115065. ArXiv:2003.06591 Springer Verlag 1991.

[6] M. Dubois-Violette: “Non-commutative differential geometry, quantum mechanics and gauge theory” in Differential geometric methods in theoretical physics, Rapallo (Italy) 1990, Bartocci, C. and Bruzzo, U. and Cianci, R., Lecture Notes in Physics **375** 13-24. Springer Verlag 1991.

[7] M. Dubois-Violette: “Lectures on graded differential algebras and noncommutative geometry” in Noncommutative Differential Geometry and Its Applications to Physics, Shonan, Japan, 1999, Maeda ,Y. and al., Kluwer Academic Publishers 2001, 245-306.